

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Abstract of the Disclosure was objected to. Claims 1-12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as failing to define the invention.

By the above amendments, the informalities noted by the Examiner have been avoided. Therefore, in the absence of prior art being cited against the claims, the application should be in condition for allowance.

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present application should now be in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is in order, and such favorable action and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

However, if after reviewing the above amendments and remarks, the Examiner has any questions or comments, he is cordially invited to contact the undersigned attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC

By: JCH
John C. Holman
Reg. No. 22,769

400 Seventh Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2201
(202) 638-6666
Date: February 19, 2009
JCH/JLS:ms